

Developer Tooling for

Cloud Native Applications

Dive, multistage-builds, docker-squash

Raghavendra Sirigeri Founder, Questodev (<u>https://www.linkedin.com/in/raghavendra-sirigeri/</u>)



Agenda

- Containers under the hood
- Overlay Filesystems
- Docker Image Layering
- Optimising Build Times
- Docker-dive for Image analysis
- Docker-squash for squashing layers

How can we isolate two processes?



Enter NAMESPACES..



Mounting and Mount Points



Mounting is the process of making a filesystem accessible at a certain point in the directory tree of a system. A mount point is a directory in the filesystem where a mounted filesystem becomes accessible

Bind Mount Point



A bind mount is a type of mount in Linux and Unix-like operating systems where a directory or file is mounted to another location in the filesystem. Unlike a traditional mount, which typically involves mounting a filesystem from a storage device, a bind mount simply creates an additional reference to an existing directory or file in a different location.

Overlay Filesystems - Quick Walkthrough

Essentially comprises of 3 layers

Overlay Layer
Upper Layer
Lower Layer

Overlay Filesystems - Quick Walkthrough



LL1.TXT, LL2.TXT, UL1.TXT, UL2.TXT UL1.TXT, UL2.TXT

LL1.TXT, LL2.TXT

- Overlay FS is a type of Union FS
- Union of all files and dirs from Lower and Upper Layer
- Lower Layer is READ-ONLY
- Any change done to files originally from Lower Layer in the Overlay Layer will create new COPY-ON-WRITE file of the the modified file in the upper layer as the Lower layer is READ-ONLY
- When you modify any of the files in the overlay layer which were originally from the lower layer, a copy (COPY-ON-WRITE) of that gets created in the upper directory and that is where the modifications go.

Overlay Filesystems - Demo



```
$ sudo mount -t overlay -o
lowerdir=lower_dir/,upperdir=upper_dir,workdir=work_dir/ none
merged_dir/
```

Work Directory is used by the system as a temporary work area for internal purposes

Overlay Filesystems - Quick Walkthrough



How to build a container image



Dissecting Container Images



Each intermediate layer have their own overlay filesystem which are chained to gather to give the final image



Image Layering in Depth





Scenario 1 - Simple Node.js App

```
FROM node:22
WORKDIR /app
COPY package.json package-lock.json ./
RUN npm install
COPY . .
CMD node server.js
```

VS

FROM node:22
WORKDIR /app
COPY . .
RUN npm install
CMD node server.js

Hint: Think in terms of subsequent build times when source code changes

Scenario 1 - Simple Node.js App



FROM node:22
WORKDIR /app
COPY package.json package-lock.json ./
RUN npm install
COPY . . cac
CMD node server.js

VS

FROM node:22
WORKDIR /app
COPY
RUN npm install
CMD node server.js

These layers are cached as no changes are done here and can be easily retrieved in subsequent builds

Scenario 1 - Simple Node.js App



VS



Optimising Build Times

- During incremental build we want to ensure that we can use build cache effectively to avoid high build times. For example COPY . /app should not be done early in the Dockerfile as any changes to the code will invalidate the build cache.
 ORDER FROM LEAST TO MOST FREQUENTLY CHANGING CONTENT.
- Only copy whats needed. Avoid COPY . if possible because any changes to the files will bust the cache
- Line buddies apt-get update and apt-get install should be done together rather than in separate lines. If a new package has to be installed the cached layer corresponding to apt-get update will contain old package cache and the newly installed package may be outdated.

Scenario 2 - Reducing Docker Image Size

{

```
FROM node:22
WORKDIR /app
RUN apt-get update && apt-
get install libcairo2-dev
libjpeg-dev libgif-dev
libpango1.0-dev -y
COPY package_json package_
lock.json ./
RUN npm install
COPY . .
CMD node server.js
 Results in an image of
```

size 1.3GB

"name": "scenario-1-simple-nodeapp", "version": "1.0.0", "main": "server.js", "scripts": { "test": "echo \"Error: no test specified\" && exit 1" }, "author": "", "license": "ISC", "description": "", "dependencies": { "express": "^4.19.2" "devDependencies": { "eslint": "^9.5.0", "mocha": "^10.4.0", "nodemon": "^3 1 /" Do we need these } } during runtime? Not really

Scenario 2 - Reducing Docker Image Size

Node:22 base image layers and size is more than 1 GB

	Current Lav	er Contents		
• Lavers	Permission	UID:GID	Size	Filetree
Cmp Size Command	-rwxrwxrwx	0:0	0 B	⊨ bin → usr/bin
117 MB FROM b3b31c0586cb09b	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	0 B	— boot
48 MB set -eux: apt-get update: apt-get install -vno-insta	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	0 B	— dev
177 MB set -eux: apt-get update: apt-get install -vno-insta	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	851 kB	— etc
587 MB set -ex: apt-get update: apt-get install -vno-instal	-rw	0:0	0 B	- pwd-lock
8.9 kB arounaddaid 1000 node $&$ useradduid 1000aid nodes	drwxr_xr_x	0:0	244 kB	TmageMagick-6
174 MB ABCH= $\&\&$ dnkgArch="\$(dnkgprint-architecture)" $\&\&$ case "\${d	-rw-rr	0:0	899 B	
5.3 MB set $-ex$ $\delta \delta$ export CNUPGHOME-" $(mktemp -d)$ " $\delta \delta$ for key in	-rw-rr	0:0	1 / kB	
388 B #(non) COPV file: 4d192565a7220e135cab6c77fbc1c73211b69f3d9fb37e	-rw-rr	0:0	14 kB	
A B WORKDIR /and	-rw-rr	0.0	1 6 kB	
34 MB PUN /bin/sh _c ant_get undate && ant_get install librairo2_dev	-rw-rr	0.0	200 R	
234 kB COPV package ison package lock ison / # huildkit	- w-	0.0	131 LB	
113 MB DUN /bip/sb _c npm install # buildkit	- w-	0.0		
IIS ND KON / DIN/SN -C NPM INStatt # DUITUKIT	-IW-II	0.0	4.7 KD	quantization table yml
Laver Details	-IW-II	0:0	2.4 KD	
	-/w-//	0:0	12 KD	
	-/w-//	0:0		
Tdys: (unavailable) Td. de020201fbe0E0e4420710b40b040EeE22624600bE40607Eee2e4d4E6bb1f07E	-rw-rr	0:0		
10: UC0302611De936d4420719D49D9493d3353634096D3496673Ce2e4u436DD11873	-rw-rr	0:0	9.7 KD	
Digest: Shd250:000150d909/05C/D24C1C1D1C20500//41042/0659/11/1d066C005C5dd/	-rw-rr	0:0	10 KD	
2018	-rw-rr	0:0	14 KB	
Lommand:	-rw-rr	0:0	021 B	
#(nop) CUPY TILE:40192565a7220e135Cab6c7/TbC1c73211b69T309Tb37e6285/b2c6eb9	arwxr-xr-x	0:0	29 KB	
363d51 in /usr/local/bin/	-rwxr-xr-x	0:0	709 B	Xreset
	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	205 B	Xreset.d
	-rw-rr	0:0	205 B	
	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	319 B	Xresources
	-rw-rr	0:0	319 B	x11-common
	-rwxr-xr-x	0:0	3.9 kB	Xsession
	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	6.3 kB	Xsession.d
Image Details	-rw-rr	0:0	1.9 kB	20x11-common_process-arg
	-rw-rr	0:0	878 B	30x11-common_xresources
Image name: node-sample-without-multi	-rw-rr	0:0	389 B	- 35x11-common_xhost-local
Total Image size: 1.3 GB	-rw-rr	0:0	187 B	40x11-common_xsessionrc
Potential wasted space: 10 MB	-rw-rr	0:0	1.6 kB	50x11-common_determine-s
Image efficiency score: 99 %	-rw-rr	0:0	880 B	90gpg-agent
	-rw-rr	0:0	385 B	90x11-common_ssh-agent
Count Total Space Path	-rw-rr	0:0	166 B	│ │ │ └── 99x11−common_start
4 3.2 MB /var/cache/debconf/templates.dat	-rw-rr	0:0	265 B	Xsession.options
4 3.1 MB /var/cache/debconf/templates.dat-old	-rw-rr	0:0	17 kB	rgb.txt
5 1.1 MB /var/lib/dpkg/status	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	0 B	│ │ └── xorg.conf.d
5 1.1 MB /var/lib/dpkg/status-old	-rw-rr	0:0	3.0 kB	│
3 644 kB /app/package-lock.json	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	100 B	│
4 382 kB /var/log/dpkg.log	-rw-rr	0:0	100 B	README
	-rwxrwxrwx	0:0	0 B	— aclocal → /usr/bin/aclocal-1
	-rwxrwxrwx	0:0	0 B	aclocal.1.gz → /usr/share/ma
^C Ouit Tab Switch view ^F Filter ^L Show layer changes ^A Show aggreg	ated changes			

Scenario 2 - Multi-stage builds

Huge Reduction in image size from 1.2 GB to < 300MB

```
FROM node:22 as builder
                                                  Image size of 777 MB
WORKDIR /app
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install libcairo2-dev
libjpeg-dev libgif-dev libpango1.0-dev -y
COPY package_json package_lock_json ./
RUN npm install
COPY . .
#Runtime
FROM node:22-slim
WORKDIR /app
COPY -- from=builder / app .
RUN npm install --only=production
# Installing awscliv2
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install curl unzip -y
RUN curl "https://awscli.amazonaws.com/awscli-exe-linux-
x86_64.zip" -o "awscliv2.zip"
RUN unzip awscliv2.zip && ./aws/install
```

CMD node server.js

			L Current	Laver Contents	L	
	Layers		Permission	UID:GID	Size	Filetree
Ċn	np Size	Command	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	95 MB	— app
	75 MB	FROM 0417392ea6b973f	-rw-rr	0:0	26 B	dockerignore
	8.9 kB	groupaddgid 1000 node && useradduid 1000gid nodes	-rw-rr	0:0	519 R	└── Dockerfile_v1
	130 MB	ARCH= OPENSSL_ARCH= && dpkgArch="\$(dpkgprint-architecture)"	-rw-rr	0:0	61 MB	— awscliv2.zip
	7.2 MB	<pre>set -ex && savedAptMark="\$(apt-mark showmanual)" && apt-get</pre>	arwxr-xr-x	0:0	34 MB	—⊕ noae_moaules
	388 B	#(nop) COPY file:4d192565a7220e135cab6c77fbc1c73211b69f3d9fb37e	-rw-rr	0:0	176 kB	📔 🔶 package-lock.json
	0 B	WORKDIR /app	-rw-rr	0:0	482 B	— package.json
	48 MB	COPY /app . # buildkit	-rw-rr	0:0	232 B	└── server.js
	320 kB	RUN /bin/sh -c npm installonly=production # buildkit	-rwxrwxrwx	0:0	0 B	— bin → usr/bin
	35 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c apt-get update && apt-get install curl unzip -y	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	0 B	- boot
	61 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c curl "https://awscli.amazonaws.com/awscli-exe-li	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	0 B	— dev
	420 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c unzip awscliv2.zip && ./aws/install # buildkit	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	406 kB	—⊕ etc

		L Current	Laver Contents		
Layers		Permission	UID:GID	Size	Filetree
mp Size	Command	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	305 MB	⊢ app
75 MB	FROM 0417392ea6b973f	-rw-rr	0:0	26 B	dockerignore
8.9 kB	groupaddgid 1000 node && useradduid 1000gid nodes	-rw-rr	0:0	519 B	Dockerfile-v1
130 MB	ARCH= OPENSSL_ARCH= && dpkgArch="\$(dpkgprint-architecture)"	drwxr–xr–x	0:0	210 MB	aws
7.2 MB	<pre>set -ex && savedAptMark="\$(apt-mark showmanual)" && apt-get</pre>	-rw-rr	0:0	1.5 kB	README.md
388 B	#(nop) COPY file:4d192565a7220e135cab6c77fbc1c73211b69f3d9fb37e	-rw-rr	0:0	68 kB	THIRD_PARTY_LICENSES
0 B	WORKDIR /app	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	210 MB	—⊕ dist
48 MB	COPY /app . # buildkit	-rwxr-xr-x	0:0	4.0 kB	install
320 kB	RUN /bin/sh -c npm installonly=production # buildkit	-rw-rr	0:0	рт ыр	awscilvz.zip
35 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c apt-get update && apt-get install curl unzip -y	drwxr-xr-x	0:0	34 MB	—⊕ node_modules
61 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c curl "https://awscli.amazonaws.com/awscli-exe-li	-rw-rr	0:0	176 kB	— package-lock.json
420 MB	RUN /bin/sh -c unzip awscliv2.zip && ./aws/install # buildkit	-rw-rr	0:0	482 B	— package.json
		-rw-rr	0:0	232 B	🖵 server.js
			0.0		hin uan/hin

```
FROM node:22 as builder
WORKDIR /app
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install libcairo2-dev
libjpeg-dev libgif-dev libpango1.0-dev -y
COPY package.json package-lock.json ./
Im
RUN npm install
COPY . .
```

```
#Runtime
FROM node:22-slim
WORKDIR /app
COPY --from=builder /app .
RUN npm install --only=production
```

Image size is still 777 MB Whats happening here?

Hint: Overlay filesystems Lower Layer Characteristic

```
# Installing awscliv2
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install curl unzip -y
RUN curl "https://awscli.amazonaws.com/awscli-exe-linux-
x86_64.zip" -o "awscliv2.zip"
RUN unzip awscliv2.zip && ./aws/install
RUN rm -rf awscliv2.zip ./aws
CMD node server.js
```

```
FROM node:22 as builder
WORKDIR /app
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install libcairo2-dev
libjpeg-dev libgif-dev libpango1.0-dev -y
COPY package.json package-lock.json ./
RUN npm install
COPY . .
```

Image size is now 506 MB

```
#Runtime
FROM node:22-slim
WORKDIR /app
COPY --from=builder /app .
RUN npm install --only=production
```

```
# Installing awscliv2
RUN apt-get update && apt-get install curl unzip -y
RUN curl "https://awscli.amazonaws.com/awscli-exe-linux-
x86_64.zip" -o "awscliv2.zip" && unzip awscliv2.zip
&& ./aws/install && rm -rf awscliv2.zip ./aws
```

```
CMD node server.js
```

Scenario 4 - Docker squash

Once the image is built, docker-squash combines the new layers into a new image with a single new layer. Squashing doesn't destroy any existing image, rather it creates a new image with the content of the squashed layers. This effectively makes it look like all **Dockerfile** commands were created with a single layer.

Squashing layers can be beneficial if your Dockerfile produces multiple layers modifying the same files. For example, files created in one step and removed in another step.

We saw this with our awscli package where we wanted to get rid of the download files and bloatware but using the rm command had no effect.

LETS NOW TRY TO SQUASH THE NODE-SAMPLE-AWSCLI-IMAGE!

\$ docker-squash -t node-sample-after-squash node-sample-awscli-rm-v1

Scenario 4 - Docker squash

LETS NOW TRY TO SQUASH THE NODE-SAMPLE-AWSCLI-IMAGE!

\$ docker-squash -t node-sample-after-squash node-sample-awscli-rm-v1

NEW IMAGE IS close to 480 MB compare to the original 770 MB!

Scenario 4 - Docker squash

Limitations -

- When squashing layers, the resulting image can't take advantage of layer sharing with other images, and may use significantly more space. Sharing the base image is still supported.
- While squashing layers may produce smaller images, it may have a negative impact on performance, as a single layer takes longer to extract, and you can't parallelize downloading a single layer.

Resources

- Udemy course <u>https://www.udemy.com/course/</u> <u>containers-under-the-hood/</u>
- https://github.com/wagoodman/dive
- https://github.com/goldmann/docker-squash
- Dockerfile Best Practices <u>https://www.youtube.com/</u> watch?v=JofsaZ3H1qM&t=1945s

